## REMARKS

The Official Action mailed May 13, 2004, has been carefully reviewed. The claims in the application are now claims 6 and 8-10, and these claims are believed to define patentable subject matter consistent with what is stated in the Official Action. Accordingly, the applicants respectfully request favorable consideration and early allowance.

Claims 6 and 8 have been rejected under Section 102 as anticipated by Koyama EP '001. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Koyama discloses a compound of applicants' Formula

II administered as a food product, and also discloses treating
a viral infection such as hepatitis.

Claims 6 and 8 have now been amended to delete reference to hepatitis, whereby Koyama can no longer be said to anticipate claims 6 and 8.

Claims 9 and 10 have been added which are directed to the treatment of hepatitis, but which claims exclude the compounds of Formula II. Accordingly, Koyama does not anticipate new claims 9 and 10.

The amendments submitted above should place the present application in condition for allowance. Accordingly,

Appln. No. 09/890,875
Amd. dated August 10, 2004
Reply to Office Action of May 13, 200

applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection based on Section 102 and formal allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicants

Ву

Sheridan Neimark

Registration No. 20,520

SN: jec

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\A\Aoyb\Ohnogi1\PTO\AMD 10 Aug 04.doc